

# **ECONOMIC IMPACT/ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT ANALYSIS**

*Answer to best of the proponent's ability, as required by ADPCEC Regulation 8,  
Chapter 3.5*

**APC&EC Regulation No. 32  
(Environmental Professional Certification)  
January, 2008 Update**

## **RULE SUMMARY:**

This revision to Regulation No. 32 implements a certification program under the authority of Act 864 of 2007 (Arkansas Code, Ann. §§ 8-7-1401 *et seq.*) for consultants and/or contractors who address investigation and cleanup at properties which have been contaminated by the illicit manufacture of controlled substances, e.g., clandestine drug laboratories. This revision does not have a corresponding federal rule or requirement.

### **STEP 1: DETERMINATION OF ANALYSIS REQUIREMENT (to be included in petition to initiate rulemaking)**

**1A.** Is the proposal expressly addressed by a Federal requirement?

Yes. See 1B.

**No. Economic Impact/Environmental Benefit Analysis is not required.**

**No.**

**1B.** If 1A is YES, is proposed regulation equivalent, or more stringent, or less stringent than federal requirement?

- If equivalent – Economic Impact/Environmental Benefit Analysis is not required
- If more stringent - Economic Impact/Environmental Benefit Analysis is required
- If less stringent - Economic Impact/Environmental Benefit Analysis is not required, but does require federal agency approval prior to adoption if the proposal is part of an authorized state program.

**STEP 2: THE ANALYSIS**  
**(to be included in petition to initiate rulemaking, if required)**

**2A. ECONOMIC IMPACT**

Not Required.  
( )

**2B. ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT**

Not Required.  
( )